arXiv:0810.1924v3 [math.AG] 7 Feb 2009

EXTREMAL METRICS ON DEL PEZZO THREEFOLDS

IVAN CHELTSOV AND CONSTANTIN SHRAMOV

In memory of Vasily Iskovskikh

Abstract. We prove the existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics on a nonsingular section of the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(2,5)\subset\mathbb{P}^9$ by a linear subspace of codimension 3, and the Fermat hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$. We also show that a global log canonical threshold of the Mukai–Umemura variety is equal to $1/2$.

1. Introduction

Let X be a variety[1] with at most log canonical singularities (see [17]), and let D be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on the variety X. Then the number

$$\mathrm{lct}\big(X,D\big)=\mathrm{sup}\left\{\lambda\in\mathbb{Q}\ \middle|\ \text{log pair }\big(X,\lambda D\big)\text{ log canonical}\right\}\in\mathbb{Q}\cup\big\{+\infty\big\}$$

is called the log canonical threshold of the divisor D (see [24]).

Suppose that X is a Fano variety with at most log terminal singularities (see [16]).

Definition 1.1. Global log canonical threshold of the Fano variety X is the number

$$\mathrm{lct}\big(X\big)=\mathrm{inf}\left\{\mathrm{lct}\big(X,D\big)\ \middle|\ D\text{ is an effective }\mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor on }X\text{ such that }D\ \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-K_X\right\}\geqslant 0.$$

Recall that every Fano variety X is rationally connected (see [27]). Thus, the group $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is torsion free. Hence

$$\mathrm{lct}\big(X\big)=\mathrm{sup}\left\{\lambda\in\mathbb{Q}\ \middle|\ \begin{array}{l}\text{the log pair }\Big(X,\lambda D\Big)\text{ is log canonical}\\ \text{for every effective }\mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor }D\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-K_X\end{array}\right\}.$$

Example 1.2. Let X be a smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree m, where $2\leqslant m\leqslant n$. Then

$$\mathrm{lct}\big(X\big)=\frac{1}{n+1-m}$$

if $m<n$ (see [2]). Thus, we have $\mathrm{lct}(\mathbb{P}^n)=1/(n+1)$. Suppose that $n=m$. by [2]

$$1\geqslant\mathrm{lct}\big(X\big)\geqslant\frac{n-1}{n}.$$

The first author was supported by the grants NSF DMS-0701465 and EPSRC EP/E048412/1, the second author was supported by the grants RFFI No. 08-01-00395-a, N.Sh.-1987.2008.1 and EPSRC EP/E048412/1.

[1] All varieties are assumed to be complex, algebraic, projective and normal.

It follows from [23] and [6] that if X is general, then

$$\mathrm{lct}\big(X\big)\geqslant\begin{cases}1, \text{ if } n\geqslant 6,\\ 22/25, \text{ if } n=5,\\ 16/21, \text{ if } n=4,\\ 3/4, \text{ if } n=3.\end{cases}$$

One has $\mathrm{lct}(X)=1-1/n$ if X contains a cone of dimension $n-2$.

Example 1.3. Let X be a rational homogeneous space such that $-K_X\sim rD$ and

$$\mathrm{Pic}\big(X\big)=\mathbb{Z}\big[D\big],$$

where D is an ample divisor and $r\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then $\mathrm{lct}(X)=1/r$ (see [14]).

Example 1.4. Let X be a quasismooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1,a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)$ of degree $\sum_{i=1}^{4}a_i$ such that X has at most terminal singularities, where $a_1\leqslant a_2\leqslant a_3\leqslant a_4$. Then

$$-K_X\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,\,a_1,\,a_2,\,a_3,\,a_4)}\big(1\big)\Big|_X$$

and there are 95 possibilities for the quadruple (a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4) (see [15]). One has

$$1\geqslant\mathrm{lct}\big(X\big)\geqslant\begin{cases}16/21 \text{ if } a_1=a_2=a_3=a_4=1,\\ 7/9 \text{ if } (a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)=(1,1,1,2),\\ 4/5 \text{ if } (a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)=(1,1,2,2),\\ 6/7 \text{ if } (a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)=(1,1,2,3),\\ 1 \text{ in the remaining cases,}\end{cases}$$

if X is general (see [3], [6], [5]).

Example 1.5. Let X be smooth del Pezzo surface. It follows from [4] that

$$\mathrm{lct}\big(X\big)=\begin{cases}1 \text{ if } K_X^2=1 \text{ and } |-K_X| \text{ contains no cuspidal curves,}\\ 5/6 \text{ if } K_X^2=1 \text{ and } |-K_X| \text{ contains a cuspidal curve,}\\ 5/6 \text{ if } K_X^2=2 \text{ and } |-K_X| \text{ contains no tacnodal curves,}\\ 3/4 \text{ if } K_X^2=2 \text{ and } |-K_X| \text{ contains a tacnodal curve,}\\ 3/4 \text{ if } X \text{ is a cubic in } \mathbb{P}^3 \text{ with no Eckardt points,}\\ 2/3 \text{ if either } X \text{ is a cubic in } \mathbb{P}^3 \text{ with an Eckardt point, or } K_X^2=4,\\ 1/2 \text{ if } X\cong\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1 \text{ or } K_X^2\in\{5,6\},\\ 1/3 \text{ in the remaining cases.}\end{cases}$$

Let $G\subset\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ be an arbitrary subgroup.

Definition 1.6. Global G-invariant log canonical threshold $\mathrm{lct}(X,G)$ of the Fano variety X is the number

$$\sup\left\{\epsilon\in\mathbb{Q}\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{l}\text{the log pair }\Big(X,\dfrac{\epsilon}{n}\mathcal{D}\Big)\text{ has log canonical singularities for every}\\ G\text{-invariant linear system }\mathcal{D}\subset\big|-nK_X\big|\text{ and every }n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}\end{array}\right\}.$$

If the Fano variety X is smooth and G is compact, then it follows from [7, Appendix A] that

$$\mathrm{lct}\big(X, G\big) = \alpha_G\big(X\big),$$

where $\alpha_G(X)$ is the invariant introduced in [25]. We have $\mathrm{lct}(X) \leqslant \mathrm{lct}(X, G) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$.

Remark 1.7. Suppose that the subgroup G is finite. Then

$$\mathrm{lct}\big(X, G\big) = \sup\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \ \middle|\ \begin{array}{l}\text{log pair } (X, \lambda D) \text{ is log canonical for every}\\ \text{effective } G\text{-invariant } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor } D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_X\end{array}\right\}.$$

Indeed, it is enough to show that if $\mathcal{D} \subset |-mK_X|$ is a G-invariant linear system such that the log pair $(X, c\mathcal{D})$ is not log canonical for some $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geqslant 0}$, then there is a G-invariant effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -mK_X$ such that the log pair (X, cB) is not log canonical. Put $k = |G|$. Suppose that the log pair $(X, c\mathcal{D})$ is not log canonical. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ be a general divisor. Then the log pair

$$\big(X, \frac{c}{k}\sum_{g \in G} g(D)\big)$$

is not log canonical as well (see the proof of [17, Theorem 4.8]), which implies the required assertion.

Example 1.8. The simple group $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathrm{F}_7)$ is a group of automorphisms of the quartic

$$x^3y + y^3z + z^3x = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \cong \mathrm{Proj}\Big(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]\Big),$$

which induces an embedding $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathrm{F}_7) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. One has $\mathrm{lct}(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathrm{F}_7)) = 4/3$ (see [22], [4]).

Example 1.9. Let X be the cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ given by the equation

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + t^3 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \cong \mathrm{Proj}\Big(\mathbb{C}\big[x, y, z, t\big]\Big),$$

and let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^3 \rtimes \mathrm{S}_4$. Then $\mathrm{lct}(X, G) = 4$ by [4].

The following result is proved in [25], [20], [8] (cf. [7, Appendix A]).

Theorem 1.10. *Suppose that X has at most quotient singularities, the group G is compact, and the inequality*

$$\mathrm{lct}\big(X, G\big) > \frac{\dim\big(X\big)}{\dim\big(X\big) + 1}$$

holds. Then X admits an orbifold Kähler–Einstein metric.

Remark 1.11. Let $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ be a reductive subgroup, and $G' \subset G$ the maximal compact subgroup of G. Then a restriction to G' of any irreducible representation of G remains irreducible as a complex representation of G'. This implies that all linear systems on X that are invariant with respect to G are also invariant with respect to G' (the converse holds by obvious reasons). In particular, $\mathrm{lct}(X, G) = \mathrm{lct}(X, G')$.

Theorem 1.10 has many applications (see Examples 1.2, 1.4, 1.9).

Example 1.12. Let X be one of the following smooth Fano varieties:

- a Fermat hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $n/2 \leqslant d \leqslant n$ (cf. Example 1.9);

- a smooth complete intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^5$ that is given by
$$\sum_{i=0}^{5} x_i^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{5} \zeta^i x_i^2 = 0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5 \cong \mathrm{Proj}\Big(\mathbb{C}\big[x_0, \ldots, x_5\big]\Big),$$
where ζ is a primitive sixth root of unity;
- a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1^{n+1}, q)$ of degree pq that is given by the equation
$$w^p = \sum_{i=0}^{5} x_i^{pq} \subseteq \mathbb{P}\big(1^{n+1}, q\big) \cong \mathrm{Proj}\Big(\mathbb{C}\big[x_0, \ldots, x_n, w\big]\Big),$$
such that $pq - q \leqslant n$, where $\mathrm{wt}(x_0) = \ldots = \mathrm{wt}(x_n) = 1$, $\mathrm{wt}(w) = q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. Then G is finite, and the inequality $\mathrm{lct}(X, G) \geqslant 1$ holds (see [25], [20]).

The numbers $\mathrm{lct}(X)$ and $\mathrm{lct}(X, G)$ also play an important role in birational geometry. For instance, the following result holds (see [4]).

Theorem 1.13. *Let X_i be a Fano variety, and let $G_i \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X_i)$ be a finite subgroup such that*

- *the variety X_i is G_i-birationally superrigid (see* [7]*),*
- *the inequality* $\mathrm{lct}(X_i, G_i) \geqslant 1$ *holds,*

where $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Then the following assertions hold:

- *there is no $G_1 \times \ldots \times G_r$-equivariant birational map $\rho\colon X_1 \times \ldots \times X_r \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$;*
- *every $G_1 \times \ldots \times G_r$-equivariant birational automorphism of $X_1 \times \ldots \times X_r$ is biregular;*
- *for every $G_1 \times \ldots \times G_r$-equivariant rational dominant map*
$$\rho\colon X_1 \times \ldots \times X_r \dashrightarrow Y,$$
whose general fiber is a rationally connected variety, there a commutative diagram
$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \times \ldots \times X_r \arrow[d, "\pi"'] \arrow[rrd, dashed, "\rho"] & & \\
X_{i_1} \times \ldots \times X_{i_k} \arrow[rr, dashed, "\xi"'] & & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$
where ξ is a birational map, π is a natural projection, and $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, r\}$.

Varieties satisfying all hypotheses of Theorem 1.13 do exist.

Example 1.14. The simple group $\mathfrak{A}_6$ is a group of automorphisms of the sextic
$$10x^3y^3 + 9zx^5 + 9zy^5 + 27z^6 = 45x^2y^2z^2 + 135xyz^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \cong \mathrm{Proj}\Big(\mathbb{C}\big[x, y, z\big]\Big),$$
which induces an embedding $\mathfrak{A}_6 \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. Then $\mathbb{P}^2$ is $\mathfrak{A}_6$-birationally superrigid and the equality $\mathrm{lct}(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathfrak{A}_6) = 2$ holds (see [22], [4]). Thus, there is an induced embedding
$$\mathfrak{A}_6 \times \mathfrak{A}_6 \cong \Omega \subset \mathrm{Bir}\big(\mathbb{P}^4\big)$$
such that Ω is not conjugate to any subgroup in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^4)$ by Theorem 1.13.

Let V be a smooth Fano threefold (see [16]) such that $-K_V \sim 2H$, where H is an ample Cartier divisor that is not divisible in $\mathrm{Pic}(V)$.

Remark 1.15. The variety V is called a del Pezzo variety, since a general element in the linear system $|H|$ is a smooth del Pezzo surface.

It is well-known that V is one of the following varieties:

- V_1, i. e. a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$ of degree 6;
- V_2, i. e. a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,2)$ of degree 4;
- V_3, i. e. a cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$;
- V_4, i. e. a complete intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^5$;
- V_5, i. e. a section of the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(2,5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ by a linear subspace of codimension 3 (all such sections are isomorphic);
- W, a divisor in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of bidegree $(1,1)$;
- V_7, i. e. a blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ at a point;
- the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Remark 1.16. In [7] the values of global log canonical thresholds of smooth del Pezzo threefolds were found:

$$\mathrm{lct}\big(V\big) = \begin{cases} 1/4, & \text{if } V \text{ is a blow-up of } \mathbb{P}^3 \text{ at a point,} \\ 1/2 & \text{in the remaining cases.} \end{cases}$$

Concerning Kähler–Einstein metrics on V, the following is known:

- V_7 does not admit a Kähler–Einstein metric (see [26]);
- V_4 admits a Kähler–Einstein metric (see [1], cf. Example 1.12);
- W and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ admit Kähler–Einstein metrics, since their automorphism groups are reductive and act on them transitively (see Theorem 1.10 and Remark 1.11);
- there are examples of varieties $V_2 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,2)$ and $V_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ with large automorphism groups (see Example 1.12) that admit Kähler–Einstein metrics.

The question of existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics on the varieties V_1 and V_5 has not been studied in the literature yet (cf. a remark before [1, Theorem 3.2]).

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the following assertions.

Theorem 1.17. *Let G be a maximal compact subgroup in $\mathrm{Aut}(V_5)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{lct}\big(V_5,\ G\big) = \mathrm{lct}(V_5, \mathrm{Aut}(V_5)) = 5/6.$$

Theorem 1.18. *Let V_1 be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$, given by an equation*

$$w^2 = t^3 + x^6 + y^6 + z^6 \subset \mathbb{P}\big(1,1,1,2,3\big) \cong \mathrm{Proj}\Big(\mathbb{C}\big[x,y,z,t,w\big]\Big),$$

where $\mathrm{wt}(x) = \mathrm{wt}(y) = \mathrm{wt}(z) = 1$, $\mathrm{wt}(t) = 2$ and $\mathrm{wt}(w) = 3$. Then $\mathrm{lct}(V_1, \mathrm{Aut}(V_1)) \geqslant 1$.

Note that the latter results combined with Theorem 1.10 imply the existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics on the variety V_5 and on the Fermat hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$.

Remark 1.19. Let V_1 be a smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$ of degree 6. Assume that $\mathrm{lct}(V_1, G) \geqslant 1$, where G is a subgroup in $\mathrm{Aut}(V_1)$. Then

- the linear system $|H|$ does not contain G-invariant surfaces,
- the linear system $|H|$ does not contain G-invariant pencils (cf. the proof of [22, Theorem 1.2]),

- the variety V_1 is G-birationally superrigid (see [12], [13]).

Remark 1.20. The methods we use to prove Theorem 3.2 are similar to those of [20]. Nevertheless, some statements of [20] (say, [20, Corollary 4.2], or a standard method to exclude zero-dimensional components of a subscheme of log canonical singularities) cannot be directly applied in our case, since the group $\mathrm{Aut}(V_1)$ *never* acts on V_1 without fixed points.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 contains some auxiliary statements. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.18. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.17. The methods of Section 4 can be applied without significant changes to one more interesting Fano threefold, the so-called Mukai–Umemura variety (see [9] and Remark 5.2). To complete the picture in Section 5 we calculate the global log canonical threshold of Mukai–Umemura variety without any group action.

We are grateful to A. Kuznetsov and E. Smirnov for useful discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a variety with log terminal singularities. Let us consider a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor

$$B_X = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i B_i,$$

where B_i is a prime Weil divisor on the variety X, and a_i is an arbitrary non-negative rational number. Suppose that B_X is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor such that $B_i \neq B_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Let $\pi\colon \bar{X} \to X$ be a birational morphism such that $\bar{X}$ is smooth. Put

$$B_{\bar{X}} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i \bar{B}_i,$$

where $\bar{B}_i$ is a proper transform of the divisor B_i on the variety $\bar{X}$. Then

$$K_{\bar{X}} + B_{\bar{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi^*\Big(K_X + B_X\Big) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i E_i,$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, and E_i is an exceptional divisor of the morphism π. Suppose that

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \bar{B}_i\right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i\right)$$

is a divisor with simple normal crossings. Put

$$B^{\bar{X}} = B_{\bar{X}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i E_i.$$

Definition 2.1. The singularities of (X, B_X) are log canonical (resp., log terminal) if

- the inequality $a_i \leqslant 1$ holds (resp., the inequality $a_i < 1$ holds),
- the inequality $c_j \geqslant -1$ holds (resp., the inequality $c_j > -1$ holds),

for every $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

One can show that Definition 2.1 does not depend on the choice of the morphism π. Put

$$\mathrm{LCS}\Big(X, B_X\Big) = \Bigg(\bigcup_{a_i \geqslant 1} B_i\Bigg) \bigcup \Bigg(\bigcup_{c_i \leqslant -1} \pi\big(E_i\big)\Bigg) \subsetneq X,$$

and let us call $\mathrm{LCS}(X, B_X)$ the locus of log canonical singularities of the log pair (X, B_X).

Definition 2.2. A proper irreducible subvariety $Y \subsetneq X$ is said to be a center of log canonical singularities of the log pair (X, B_X) if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- either the inequality $a_i \geqslant 1$ holds and $Y = B_i$,
- or the inequality $c_i \leqslant -1$ holds and $Y = \pi(E_i)$,

for some choice of the birational morphism $\pi\colon \bar{X} \to X$.

Let $\mathbb{LCS}(X, B_X)$ be the set of all centers of log canonical singularities of (X, B_X). Then

$$Y \in \mathbb{LCS}\Big(X, B_X\Big) \Longrightarrow Y \subseteq \mathrm{LCS}\Big(X, B_X\Big)$$

and $\mathbb{LCS}(X, B_X) = \varnothing \iff \mathrm{LCS}(X, B_X) = \varnothing \iff$ the log pair (X, B_X) is log terminal.

Remark 2.3. We can use similar constructions and notation for any log pair $(X, \lambda\mathcal{D})$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is a linear system, and λ is a non-negative rational number.

The set $\mathrm{LCS}(X, B_X)$ can be naturally equipped with a scheme structure (see [20], [24]). Put

$$\mathcal{I}\Big(X, B_X\Big) = \pi_*\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lceil c_i \rceil E_i - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lfloor a_i \rfloor \bar{B}_i\Big),$$

and let $\mathcal{L}(X, B_X)$ be a subscheme that corresponds to the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(X, B_X)$.

Remark 2.4. The scheme $\mathcal{L}(X, B_X)$ is usually called the subscheme of log canonical singularities of the log pair (X, B_X), and the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(X, B_X)$ is usually called the multiplier ideal sheaf of the log pair (X, B_X).

It follows from the construction of the subscheme $\mathcal{L}(X, B_X)$ that

$$\mathrm{Supp}\Big(\mathcal{L}\big(X, B_X\big)\Big) = \mathrm{LCS}\Big(X, B_X\Big) \subset X.$$

The following result is known as the Shokurov vanishing theorem (see [24]) or the Nadel vanishing theorem (see [18, Theorem 9.4.8]).

Theorem 2.5. *Let H be a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X such that*

$$K_X + B_X + H \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D$$

for some Cartier divisor D on the variety X. Then for every $i \geqslant 1$

$$H^i\Big(X,\ \mathcal{I}\big(X, B_X\big) \otimes D\Big) = 0.$$

The following result is known as the Shokurov connectedness theorem.

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that $-(K_X + B_X)$ is nef and big. Then* $\mathrm{LCS}(X, B_X)$ *is connected.*

Proof. Put $D = 0$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.5 that the sequence

$$\mathbb{C} = H^0\Big(\mathcal{O}_X\Big) \longrightarrow H^0\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}(X,\,B_X)}\Big) \longrightarrow H^1\Big(\mathcal{I}\big(X, B_X\big)\Big) = 0$$

is exact. Thus, the locus

$$\mathrm{LCS}\Big(X,\ B_X\Big) = \mathrm{Supp}\Big(\mathcal{L}\big(X,\ B_X\big)\Big)$$

is connected. □

One can generalize Theorem 2.6 in the following way (see [24, Lemma 5.7]).

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\psi\colon X \to Z$ be a morphism. Then the set*

$$\mathrm{LCS}\Big(\bar{X},\ B^{\bar{X}}\Big)$$

is connected in a neighborhood of every fiber of the morphism $\psi \circ \pi\colon X \to Z$ in the case when

- *the morphism ψ is surjective and has connected fibers,*
- *the divisor $-(K_X + B_X)$ is nef and big with respect to ψ.*

The following result is a corollary Theorem 2.5 (see [20, Theorem 4.1]).

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that $-(K_X + B_X)$ is nef and big and* $\dim(\mathrm{LCS}(X, B_X)) = 1$. *Then*

- *the locus* $\mathrm{LCS}(X, B_X)$ *is a connected union of smooth rational curves,*
- *every intersecting irreducible components of the locus* $\mathrm{LCS}(X, B_X)$ *meet transversally,*
- *the locus* $\mathrm{LCS}(X, B_X)$ *does not contain a cycle of smooth rational curves.*

Let P be a point in X. Let us consider an effective divisor

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varepsilon_i B_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} B_X,$$

where ε_i is a non-negative rational number. Suppose that

- the divisor Δ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor,
- the equivalence $\Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} B_X$ holds,
- the log pair (X, Δ) is log canonical in the point $P \in X$.

Remark 2.9. Suppose that (X, B_X) is not log canonical in the point $P \in X$. Put

$$\alpha = \min\left\{ \frac{a_i}{\varepsilon_i} \ \Big|\ \varepsilon_i \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Note that α is well defined, because there is $\varepsilon_i \neq 0$. Then $\alpha < 1$, the log pair

$$\left(X,\ \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{a_i - \alpha\varepsilon_i}{1-\alpha} B_i\right)$$

is not log canonical in the point $P \in X$, the equivalence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{a_i - \alpha\varepsilon_i}{1-\alpha} B_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} B_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \Delta$$

holds, and at least one irreducible component of the divisor $\mathrm{Supp}(\Delta)$ is not contained in

$$\mathrm{Supp}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{a_i-\alpha\varepsilon_i}{1-\alpha}B_i\right).$$

The following result is an easy corollary of Remark 2.9.

Lemma 2.10. *Let X be a smooth Fano variety such that $\mathrm{Pic}(X)=\mathbb{Z}[H]$ for some divisor $H\in\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, and let $G\subset\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ be a subgroup. Let λ be a rational number such that*

- *$\mathrm{lct}(X,D)\geqslant\lambda/n$ for every G-invariant divisor $D\in|nH|$;*
- *$\mathrm{lct}(X,\mathcal{D})\geqslant\lambda/n$ for every G-invariant linear subsystem $\mathcal{D}\subset|nH|$ that has no fixed components.*

Then

$$\mathrm{lct}\Big(X,\ G\Big)\geqslant\lambda.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathrm{lct}(X,G)<\lambda$. Then there are a natural number n and a G-invariant linear subsystem $\mathcal{D}\subset|nH|$ such that the log pair

$$\Big(X,\frac{\lambda}{n}\mathcal{D}\Big)$$

is not log canonical. Put $\mathcal{D}=F+\mathcal{M}$, where F is a fixed part of the linear system $\mathcal{D}$, and $\mathcal{M}$ is a G-invariant linear system that has no fixed components.

Let $M_1,\ldots,M_r$ be general divisors in $\mathcal{M}$, where $r\gg 0$. Then

$$\left(X,\ \frac{\lambda}{n}\left(F+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r}M_i}{r}\right)\right)$$

is not log canonical by [17, Theorem 4.8].

Since $\mathrm{Pic}(X)=\mathbb{Z}[H]$, we have $F\sim n_1H$ and $\mathcal{M}\sim n_2H$ for some $n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, such that $n_1+n_2=n$. By Remark 2.9, we see that the log pair

$$\left(X,\ \frac{\lambda}{n_2r}\sum_{i=1}^{r}M_i\right)$$

is not log canonical, because F is G-invariant. Then the log pair

$$\Big(X,\ \frac{\lambda}{n_2}\mathcal{M}\Big)$$

is not log canonical by [17, Theorem 4.8], which is a contradiction. □

The following calculation will be useful in Section 4.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\dim(X)=3$; let $C\subset X$ be an irreducible reduced curve, and $P\in C$ a point, such that*

$$\mathrm{Sing}\big(C\big)\not\ni P\notin\mathrm{Sing}\big(X\big).$$

Let $L\subset X$ be a curve such that $P\notin\mathrm{Sing}(L)$, and D a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X such that $C\subset\mathrm{Supp}(D)\not\supset L$. Assume that L and C are tangent at P. Then

$$\mathrm{mult}_P\Big(D\cdot L\Big)\geqslant 2\mathrm{mult}_C\big(D\big).$$

Proof. Let $\pi\colon \tilde{X} \to X$ be a blow-up of the point P, let E be an exceptional divisor of π. Denote by $\tilde{L}$, $\tilde{C}$ and $\tilde{D}$ the proper transforms on $\tilde{X}$ of the curves L and C and the divisor D, respectively. Then the intersection

$$\tilde{L} \cap \mathrm{Supp}\big(\tilde{D}\big)$$

contains some point $\tilde{P} \in E$, since L and C are tangent at P. Hence

$$\mathrm{mult}_P\Big(D \cdot L\Big) = \mathrm{mult}_P(D) + \mathrm{mult}_{\tilde{P}}\Big(\tilde{D} \cdot \tilde{L}\Big) \geqslant \mathrm{mult}_C(D) + \mathrm{mult}_{\tilde{P}}(\tilde{D}) \geqslant$$

$$\geqslant \mathrm{mult}_C(D) + \mathrm{mult}_{\tilde{C}}(D) = 2\mathrm{mult}_C(D).$$

□

3. VERONESE DOUBLE CONE

We'll use the following notation: if $\mathcal{D}$ is a (nonempty) linear system on the variety X, then $\varphi_{\mathcal{D}}$ denotes the rational map defined by $\mathcal{D}$.

Let V be a smooth Fano threefold such that $(-K_V)^3 = 8$ and

$$\mathrm{Pic}\big(V\big) = \mathbb{Z}\big[H\big]$$

for some $H \in \mathrm{Pic}(V)$. Then V is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$ of degree 6.

The linear system $|H|$ has the only base point $O \in V$ and defines a rational map

$$\varphi_{|H|}\colon V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

with irreducible fibers; a general fiber of $\varphi_{|H|}$ is an elliptic curve.

Remark 3.1. We will refer to the subvarieties of V that are swept out by the fibers of $\varphi_{|H|}$ as *vertical* subvarieties.

Let $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ be a subgroup. Note that G is finite, its action on V extends to $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$, and G naturally acts on $\mathbb{P}(|H|) \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent:

- G has no fixed points on $\mathbb{P}(|H|) \cong \mathbb{P}^2$;
- G has no invariant lines on $\mathbb{P}(|H|) \cong \mathbb{P}^2$;
- $|H|$ contains no G-invariant surfaces;
- $|H|$ contains no G-invariant pencils (cf. the proof of [22, Theorem 1.2]);
- V is G-birationally superrigid (see [12], [13]).

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a linear subsystem in $|-K_X|$, generated by divisors of the form

$$\lambda_0 x^2 + \lambda_1 y^2 + \lambda_2 z^2 + \lambda_3 xy + \lambda_4 xz + \lambda_5 yz = 0,$$

where x, y and z are coordinates of weight 1 in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$. The statement of Theorem 1.18 is implied by the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Supppose that the linear system $\mathcal{B}$ contains no G-invariant divisors. Then* $\mathrm{lct}(V,G) \geqslant 1$.

Let us prove Theorem 3.2. Assume that $\mathrm{lct}(V,G) < 1$. Then the linear system $|H|$ does not contain G-invariant divisors, but there exists an effective G-invariant $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_V$, such that

$$\mathbb{LCS}\Big(V,\ \lambda D\Big) \neq \varnothing$$

for some $1 > \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$. The set $\mathrm{LCS}(V, \lambda D)$ is G-invariant.

Lemma 3.3. *The set* $\mathbb{LCS}(V, \lambda D)$ *does not contain divisors.*

Proof. Easy. ☐

Lemma 3.4. *The set* $\mathbb{LCS}(V, \lambda D)$ *does not contain curves.*

Proof. Let $C \subset \mathrm{LCS}(V, \lambda D)$ be a G-invariant curve. Then for any point $P \in C$ one has $\mathrm{mult}_P D > 1/\lambda$. Lemma 2.8 implies that C has a non-vertical component. Then $\deg(\phi_{|H|}(C)) \geqslant 3$, since the linear system $\mathcal{B}$ does not contain G-invariant surfaces.

Let S be a general surface in $|H|$. Put

$$S \cap C = \Big\{P_1, \ldots, P_s\Big\},$$

where $P_1, \ldots, P_s$ are distinct points. Then $s \geqslant 3$. Moreover, one has $s > 3$ if $O \in C$. So it is easy to see that one may assume the following:

- $O \notin \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$,
- $\phi_{|H|}(P_1), \phi_{|H|}(P_2), \phi_{|H|}(P_3)$ are distinct points.

The surface S is a del Pezzo surface. One has

$$D\Big|_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -2K_S$$

and $-K_S^2 = 1$. The log pair $(S, \lambda D|_S)$ is not log terminal at P_1, P_2 and P_3. Theorem 2.5 implies that the sequence

$$\mathbb{C}^2 = H^0\Big(\mathcal{O}_S\big(-K_S\big)\Big) \longrightarrow H^0\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}(S, \lambda D|_S)}\Big) \longrightarrow H^1\Big(\mathcal{I}\big(S,\ \lambda D\big|_S\big) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S\big(-K_S\big)\Big) = 0$$

is exact, since the scheme $\mathcal{L}(S, \lambda D|_S)$ is zero-dimensional by Lemma 3.3. In particular, the support of the subscheme $\mathcal{L}(S, \lambda D|_S)$ contains at most two points, which is a contradiction. ☐

So $\mathrm{LCS}(V, \lambda D)$ is zero-dimensional. Theorem 2.6 implies that $\mathrm{LCS}(V, \lambda D)$ consists of a single point $P \in V$.

Lemma 3.5. $P = O$.

Proof. Assume that $P \neq O$. Then the G-orbit of P is non-trivial, since so is the G-orbit of $\varphi_{|H|}(P)$, which is a contradiction. ☐

Let $\pi\colon \bar{V} \to V$ be a blow-up of the point O with an exceptional divisor E; let $\bar{D}$ be a strict transform of D on $\bar{V}$. Then the log pair

$$\Big(\bar{V},\ \lambda\bar{D} + \big(\lambda \mathrm{mult}_O(D) - 2\big)E\Big).$$

is not log canonical in the neighborhood of E. On the other hand one has $\mathrm{mult}_O(D) \leqslant 2$, since otherwise $\mathrm{Supp}(\bar{D})$ would contain all fibers of the elliptic fibration $\varphi_{|\pi^*(H)-E|}$. Hence the set

$$\mathrm{LCS}\Big(\bar{V},\ \lambda\bar{D} + \big(\lambda \mathrm{mult}_O(D) - 2\big)E\Big)$$

contains some G-invariant subvariety $Z \subsetneq E$ and is contained in $E \cong \mathbb{P}^2$.

Lemma 3.6. *One has* $\dim(Z) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $\dim(Z)=1$. Let F be a general line in $E\cong\mathbb{P}^2$. Then

$$2\geqslant \mathrm{mult}_O\big(D\big)=L\cdot\bar{D}\geqslant \deg(Z)\mathrm{mult}_Z\big(\bar{D}\big)>\frac{\deg(Z)}{\lambda}>\deg(\mathrm{Z}).$$

Hence Z containes a G-invariant line. But $|H|$ does not contain G-invariant surfaces, which gives a contradiction. ☐

So we see that the G-invariant set $\mathrm{LCS}(\bar{V},\lambda\bar{D})$ consists of a finite number of points. By Theorem 2.7 the set $\mathrm{LCS}(Y,\lambda\bar{D})$ consists of a single point, since the divisor $-(K_Y+\lambda\bar{D})$ is π-ample. But G acts on E without fixed points, since $|H|$ contains no G-invariant pencils. The contradiction concludes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

4. Quintic del Pezzo threefold

Let V_5 be a smooth Fano variety such that

$$\mathrm{Pic}\big(V_5\big)=\mathbb{Z}\big[H\big]$$

and $H^3=5$. One has $-K_{V_5}\sim 2H$ (see, for example, [16]). Let $\mathcal{W}\cong\mathbb{C}^3$ be a vector space endowed with a non-degenerate quadratic form q. Then the variety V_5 is isomorphic to the variety of triples of pairwise orthogonal (with respect to q) lines in $\mathcal{W}$ (see [16]). In particular, there is a natural action of the group $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{C})$ (or $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$) on the variety V_5.

Remark 4.1. One can show that $\mathrm{Aut}(V_5)=\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. By Remark 1.11 to prove Theorem 1.17 it suffices to check that $\mathrm{lct}(V_5,\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}))=5/6$.

The variety V_5 has a natural $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-equivariant stratification:

$$V_5=U\cup\Delta\cup C,$$

where U is an open orbit which consists of triples of pairwise distinct lines, Δ is a two-dimensional orbit which consists of the triples (l_1,l_1,l_2), where $q(l_1,l_1)=0$ and $q(l_1,l_2)=0$, and C is a one-dimensional orbit which consists of the triples (l,l,l), where $q(l,l)=0$.

The linear system $|H|$ defines an embedding $V_5\subset\mathbb{P}^6$. Under this embedding the curve C is a rational normal curve of degree 6, and Δ is swept out by the lines that are tangent to C.

Lemma 4.2. *One has* $\mathrm{lct}(V_5,\Delta)=5/6$.

Proof. The surface Δ is smooth outside C and has a singularity along C that is locally isomorphic to $T\times\mathbb{A}^1$, where T is a germ of a cuspidal curve. ☐

In particular, $\mathrm{lct}(V_5,\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}))\leqslant 5/6$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $\mathcal{D}\subset|nH|$ *be a* $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$*-invariant linear system on* V_5*, such that* $\Delta\not\subset\mathrm{Bs}(\mathcal{D})$*. Then* $\mathrm{lct}(X,\mathcal{D})\geqslant 1/n$*.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathrm{lct}(X,\mathcal{D})<1/n$. Then there exists a $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-invariant subvariety $Z\subsetneq X$, such that

$$\mathrm{mult}_Z\big(D\big)>n,$$

where D is a general divisor in $\mathcal{D}$. Since $\Delta\not\subset\mathrm{Bs}(\mathcal{D})$, the subvariety Z is the curve C. Let P be a general point of C, and L be the tangent line to C at P. Then $L\not\subset\mathrm{Supp}(D)$. By Lemma 2.11 one has

$$2n=D\cdot L\geqslant \mathrm{mult}_P\Big(D\cdot L\Big)>2n,$$

which is a contradiction. ☐

Lemmas 2.10, 4.2 and 4.3 imply that $\mathrm{lct}(V_5, \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})) \geqslant 5/6$, and hence $\mathrm{lct}(V_5, \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})) = 5/6$.

5. THE MUKAI–UMEMURA THREEFOLD

Let X be a smooth Fano threefold such that

$$\mathrm{Pic}\big(X\big) = \mathbb{Z}\big[-K_X\big],$$

the equality $-K_X^3 = 22$ holds and $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. It is well known that the variety having such properties is unique (see [19], [21]).

Proposition 5.1. *The equality* $\mathrm{lct}(X) = 1/2$ *holds.*

Proof. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ be a subspace of forms of degree 12. Consider $U \cong \mathbb{C}^{13}$ as the affine part of

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(U \oplus \mathbb{C}\Big) \cong \mathbb{P}^{13},$$

and let us identify $\mathbb{P}(U)$ with the hyperplane at infinity.

The natural action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ induces an action on $\mathbb{P}(U \oplus \mathbb{C})$. Put

$$\phi = xy\Big(x^{10} - 11x^5y^5 - y^{10}\Big) \in U$$

and consider the closure $\overline{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot [\phi + 1]} \subset \mathbb{P}(U \oplus \mathbb{C})$. It follows from [19] that

$$X \cong \overline{\mathrm{SL}\big(2, \mathbb{C}\big) \cdot \big[\phi + 1\big]},$$

and the embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}(U \oplus \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{P}^{13}$ is induced by $|-K_X|$.

It is well known (see [16, Theorem 5.2.13]) that the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on X has the following orbits:

- the three-dimensional orbit $\Sigma_3 = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot [\phi + 1]$;
- the two-dimensional orbit $\Sigma_2 = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot [xy^{11}]$;
- the one-dimensional orbit $\Sigma_1 = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot [y^{12}]$.

The orbit Σ_3 is open. The orbit $\Sigma_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is closed. One has $\overline{\Sigma_2} = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$, and

$$X \cap \mathbb{P}(U) = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2.$$

Put $R = X \cap \mathbb{P}(U)$. It follows from [19] that

- the surface R is swept out by lines on $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$,
- the surface R contains all lines on $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$,
- for any lines $L_1 \subset R \supset L_2$ such that $L_1 \neq L_2$, one has $L_1 \cap L_2 = \varnothing$,
- the surface R is singular along the orbit $\Sigma_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$,
- the normalization of the surface R is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$,
- for every point $P \in \Sigma_1$, the surface R is locally isomorphic to

$$x^2 = y^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^3 \cong \mathrm{Spec}\Big(\mathbb{C}\big[x, y, z\big]\Big),$$

  which implies that $\mathrm{lct}(X, R) = 5/6$.

The structure of the surface R can be seen as follows. We see that

$$\Sigma_2=\Big\{\Big[\big(ax+by\big)\big(cx+dy\big)^{11}\Big]\ \Big|\ ad-bc=1\Big\}\subset\mathbb{P}\big(U\big),$$

which implies that there is a birational morphism $\nu\colon\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1\to R$ that is defined by

$$\nu\colon\big[a:b\big]\times\big[c:d\big]\mapsto\Big[\big(ax+by\big)\big(cx+dy\big)^{11}\Big]\in R,$$

which is a normalization of the surface R.

Let V_5 be a smooth Fano threefold such that

$$-K_{V_5}\sim 2H$$

and $H^3=5$, where H is a Cartier divisor on V_5. Then $|H|$ induces an embedding $X\subset\mathbb{P}^6$ (see Section 4).

Let $L\cong\mathbb{P}^1$ be a line on X. Then

$$\mathcal{N}_{L/X}\cong\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1).$$

Let $\alpha_L\colon U_L\to X$ be a blow up of the line L, and let E_L be the exceptional divisor of α_L. Then it follows from Theorem 4.3.3 in [16] that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U_L \arrow[r, dashed, "\rho_L"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_L"'] & W_L \arrow[d, "\beta_L"] \\
X \arrow[r, dashed, "\psi_L"'] & V_5
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ρ_L is a flop in the exceptional section of $E\cong\mathbb{F}_3$, the morphism β_L contracts a surface $D_L\subset W_L$ to a smooth rational curve of degree 5, and ψ_L is a double projection from the line L.

Let $\bar{D}_L\subset X$ be the proper transform of the surface D_L. Then

$$\mathrm{mult}_L\big(\bar{D}_L\big)=3$$

and $\bar{D}_L\sim -K_X$. It follows from [10] that $X\setminus\bar{D}_L\cong\mathbb{C}^3$.

It follows from [11] that there is an open subset $\breve{D}_L\subset\bar{D}_L$ that is given by

$$\mu_0x^4+\Big(\mu_1yz+\mu_2z^3\Big)x^3+\Big(\mu_3y^3+\mu_4y^2z^2+\mu_5yz^4\Big)x^2+\Big(\mu_6y^4z+\mu_7y^3z^3\Big)x+\mu_8y^6+\mu_9y^5z^2=0$$

in $\mathbb{C}^3\cong\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x,y,z])$, where the point $L\cap\Sigma_1\in\breve{D}_L$ is given by the equations $x=y=z=0$, and

$$\mu_0=-2^85^2,\ \mu_1=2^93^35,\ \mu_2=-2^63^45,\ \mu_3=-2^83^37,\ \mu_4=-2^43^4127,$$
$$\mu_5=2^93^5,\ \mu_6=2^23^689,\ \mu_7=-2^83^6,\ \mu_8=-3^65^3,\ \mu_9=2^53^7.$$

Put $O_L=\Sigma_1\cap L$. Then $\mathrm{mult}_{O_L}(\bar{D}_L)=4$, and it follows from [17, Proposition 8.14] that

$$\mathrm{LCS}\left(X,\ \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}_L\right)=O_L$$

and $\mathrm{lct}(X,\bar{D}_L)=1/2$. Thus, we see that $\mathrm{lct}(X)\leqslant 1/2$.

Suppose that $\mathrm{lct}(X)<1/2$. Then there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor

$$D\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-K_X,$$

such that the log pair $(X, \lambda D)$ is not log canonical for some positive rational number $\lambda < 1/2$. By Remark 2.9, we may assume that $R \not\subset \mathrm{Supp}(D)$, because $\mathrm{lct}(X, R) = 5/6$.

Let C be a line in X such that $C \not\subset \mathrm{Supp}(D)$. Then

$$1 = D \cdot C \geqslant \mathrm{mult}_{O_C}\big(D\big)\mathrm{mult}_{O_C}\big(C\big) = \mathrm{mult}_{O_C}\big(D\big),$$

which implies that $O_C \notin \mathrm{LCS}(X, \lambda D)$. In particular, we see that $\Sigma_1 \not\subset \mathrm{LCS}(X, \lambda D)$.

Let Γ be an irreducible curve in $\mathrm{Supp}(D)$ such that $O_C \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\mathrm{mult}_\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\bar{D}_C + \lambda D\right) = \frac{\mathrm{mult}_\Gamma\big(\bar{D}_C\big)}{2} + \lambda\mathrm{mult}_\Gamma\big(D\big) \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{mult}_\Gamma\big(\bar{D}_C\big)}{2} + \lambda\mathrm{mult}_{O_C}\big(D\big) < 1,$$

because $\lambda < 1/2$ and $\mathrm{Sing}(\bar{D}_C) = C$, because $\bar{D}_C \neq R$. Thus, we see that

$$\Gamma \not\subseteq \mathrm{LCS}\left(X,\ \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}_C + \lambda D\right) \supseteq \mathrm{LCS}\Big(X, \lambda D\Big) \cup O_C,$$

which is impossible by Theorem 2.6, because $O_C \notin \mathrm{LCS}(X, \lambda D)$ and $\lambda < 1/2$. ☐

Remark 5.2. It follows from [9] that

$$\mathrm{lct}\Big(X,\ \mathrm{SO}_3\big(\mathbb{R}\big)\Big) = \frac{5}{6},$$

which implies, in particular, that X has a Kähler–Einstein metric. This inequality can be obtained by arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.17 (the only difference is that we do not need to use Lemma 2.11 here).

REFERENCES

[1] C. Arezzo, A. Ghigi, G. Pirola, *Symmetries, quotients and Kähler–Einstein metrics* Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik **591** (2006), 177–200

[2] I. Cheltsov, *Log canonical thresholds on hypersurfaces* Sbornik: Mathematics **192** (2001), 1241–1257

[3] I. Cheltsov, *Fano varieties with many selfmaps* Advances in Mathematics **217** (2008), 97–124

[4] I. Cheltsov, *Log canonical thresholds of del Pezzo surfaces* Geometric and Functional Analysis **18** (2008), 1118–1144

[5] I. Cheltsov, *Extremal metrics on two Fano varieties* Sbornik: Mathematics **200** (2009), 97–136

[6] I. Cheltsov, J. Park, J. Won, *Log canonical thresholds of certain Fano hypersurfaces* arXiv:math.AG/0706.0751 (2007)

[7] I. Cheltsov, C. Shramov, *Log canonical thresholds of smooth Fano threefolds. With an appendix by Jean-Pierre Demailly* Russian Mathematical Surveys, to appear

[8] J.-P. Demailly, J. Kollár, *Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler–Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds* Annales Scientifiques de l'ole Normale Supérieure **34** (2001), 525–556

[9] S. Donaldson, *A note on the α-invariant of the Mukai–Umemura* 3*-fold* arXiv:math.AG/0711.4357 (2007)

[10] M. Furushima, *Complex analytic compactification of* $\mathbb{C}^3$ Compositio Mathematica **76** (1990), 163–196

[11] M. Furushima, *Mukai–Umemura's example of the Fano threefold with genus* 12 *as a compactification of* $\mathbb{C}^3$
Nagoya Mathematical Journal **127** (1992), 145–165

[12] M. Grinenko, *On the double cone over the Veronese surface*
Izvestiya: Mathematics **67** (2003), 421–438

[13] M. Grinenko, *Mori structures on a Fano threefold of index* 2 *and degree* 1
Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics **246** (2004), 103–128

[14] J.-M. Hwang, *Log canonical thresholds of divisors on Fano manifolds of Picard rank* 1
Compositio Mathematica **143** (2007), 89–94

[15] A. R. Iano-Fletcher, *Working with weighted complete intersections*
L.M.S. Lecture Note Series **281** (2000), 101–173

[16] V. Iskovskikh, Yu. Prokhorov, *Fano varieties*
Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences **47** (1999) Springer, Berlin

[17] J. Kollár, *Singularities of pairs*
Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **62** (1997), 221–287

[18] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry* **II**
Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004

[19] S. Mukai, H. Umemura, *Minimal rational threefolds*
Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1016** (1983), 490–518

[20] A. Nadel, *Multiplier ideal sheaves and Kähler–Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature*
Annals of Mathematics **132** (1990), 549–596

[21] Yu. Prokhorov, *Automorphism groups of Fano* 3*-folds*
Russian Mathematical Surveys **45** (1990), 222–223

[22] Yu. Prokhorov, D. Markushevich, *Exceptional quotient singularities*
American Journal of Mathematics **121** (1999), 1179–1189

[23] A. Pukhlikov, *Birational geometry of Fano direct products*
Izvestiya: Mathematics **69** (2005), 1225–1255

[24] V. Shokurov, *Three-fold log flips*
Russian Academy of Sciences, Izvestiya Mathematics **40** (1993), 95–202

[25] G. Tian, *On Kähler–Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with* $c_1(M) > 0$
Inventiones Mathematicae **89** (1987), 225–246

[26] X. Wang, X. Zhu, *Kähler–Ricci solitons on toric manifolds with positive first Chern class*
Advances in Mathematics **188** (2004), 87–103

[27] Q. Zhang, *Rational connectedness of log* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Fano varietiess*
Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik **590** (2006), 131–142